Community Infrastructure Levy CIL Bid Assessment

Annex 2









CIL Bid Assessment

Project name:	Applicant :	Project cost:	Band:	Total score: /120
			1: £10 - £50K	
			2: £50 - £100K	Percentage:
			3: £100K+	

This forms purpose is to assess bids for CIL funds and follows on from the CIL Bid Form. The CIL Bid Form obtains initial information, such as whether planning permission is required, about the scheme to progress to the assessment of the CIL bids using the assessment criteria contained within this form.

The purpose of the assessment criteria below is to determine how well the proposed scheme delivers benefits to the residents and businesses of Test Valley.

Question 1 and 2 are designed to reflect the purpose of CIL which is to mitigate and enable development. The score for the first 2 questions are weighted more heavily that the remainder of the questions in the assessment, being scored out of 20. From question 3, each question is scored out of 10. The higher the score the better the proposal has performed against the criteria. Scoring guidance has been provided to assist with scoring each bid against each of the criteria below.

Applicants are asked to address each question under a separate heading to assist with scoring. The more information that is provided in addressing each criteria, the more likely it is that a higher score will be achieved.

Section 1: Development and Local Communities

Question 1 Evidence of		What evidence is available to demonstrate that the project mitigates the impact of development in the area?								
0	2	4	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20							
Scoring gu	idance	quantitative 10 – An aud data has be developmer 20 – The sc Evidence or	evidence to it or an asse en gathered it heme has b	that proposa o support propessment of no d to suggest to been identified een gathered red increase	posed bid. eed has beer hat the proje d and fully jur I to demonst	n undertaken ct is required stified throug rate that infra	n identifying of d to accomm gh both quan astructure is	quantitative on odate increatitistive and o	deficits. Evide sed demand jualitative as	ence or I from sessments.

Question 2 Enabling development		How does the proposal demonstrate that it helps bring forward development?								
0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
Scoring gu	idance	0 – The project doesn't enable development 10 – Evidence that the proposal would attract development. Some evidence that this would have secondary effects such as increasing foot fall to local shops or shortens journey times for pedestrians & cyclists to key destinations 20 –Project unlocks sites to enable development or is a catalyst for further development by attracting more people and businesses. May be that the project is required to enable another project to come forward.								ts to key

Question 3 Evidence g - Engageme communitie stakeholde	How well does the proposal show that it has captured the ambi- communities or organisations about the details of the project the inities and techniques?											
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Scoring gu	idance	(possibly 0 5 – Some a and meetin to address 10 – Range questionnal times over a	score). Consultempt to engs capturing consultation of consultatires. These taperiod of ti	gage more n quantitative responses fi ion techniqu echniques sl me. The sch	neaningfully data. Analys rom local coles used to e hould be targeme should	takeholders of ing local communities (e. ngage local regeted to caption demonstrate es to meet the	mmunities of promunities such may included and the control of the	oroposal. uch as survey de minor am an) th as worksh unge of stake	ys or question endments of ops, exhibition cholders at display	onnaires f a proposal ons, ifferent consensus		

Question 4 Benefits		What are the direct benefits and are there any potential indirect benefits?								
0	1	2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10							
Scoring gu	idance	safely). 5 – Greater reduces vel 10 – Multitu	number of d nicle speeds de of direct a	lirect benefits) and indirect t	s intended to	e.g. road crose address the fully address pedestrian inj	issue/deficit	identified (e.	.g. safer cros as been iden	ssing, ntified (e.g.

Question 5 Breadth of		How many different communities is the proposal likely to benefit? What proportion of those communities will benefit (e.g. all ages or under 12's)? (Communities can be defined by geography by a common issue/interest).								
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Scoring gui	dance	5 – Multiple proportion of 10 – Serves	user groups of these com	. Serves a n munities. ificant numb	umber of cor	mmunities eq	ually. May or	nly serve a se	nited proportion mall or limited unities in the nunities	d

Question 6 Impact of b		How do the benefits of the proposal impact upon the intended users? (To address this criteria, see Annex 1 - CIL Bid Form 2 regarding equalities impact assessment.)										
0	1	2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9									
Scoring gu	uidance	would enjoy negative im to have the 5 – Benefits impact the alternative their lives. 10 – The prof need maimpacts on or eliminate	y or find desipact on cert ir needs med s would have proposal has means but the oposal would y be high an all users, paged. Users ne may have a	irable but are ain 'protecte telsewhere se a positive es on users when proposal in the provision of the prov	e probably no d' groups that should the pro- effect on user ith 'protected is the preferr found positive ion of the pro- pse with protected be met through	of the proport essential to at has not been opposal not be restricted. An at l'characteristed option to refer effect on unject may be rected characters an alternatives. Communication of the rected characters and the restricted characters and the restricted characters.	o meet their ren minimised e provided etempt has betics. The neemaximise opposers or particular to betics have tive scheme	needs. The part of	address any address any rs can be me improve the lity of life. Ne sessed and e. If the prop	y have a any be able y negative et through e quality of eir level egative minimised osal wasn't		

Question 7 Negative in and mitiga measures	npacts	Have any permanent/long term negative impacts of the proposal been considered and how are they proposed to be mitigated? (e.g. cycle link proposed but some loss of hedgerow, new crossing point but loss of a parking space).									
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Scoring gu	idance	5 – Impacts when they 10 – All pote been coste mitigation.	identified an will be delive ential impact d as part of t	nd assessed red. s identified a he scheme. of works pro	. Mitigation rand assessed Advice from	nsideration on measures produced. Full package relevant expo	posed but linge of mitigaties	mited information measures a necessary,	ation about h s proposed w sought on im	ow and which have	

Section 2: Financial viability

Question 8 Funding		What are the options for funding the proposal?								
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Scoring gu	idance	Securing of 5 - Propositions been securing 10 - Propositions well-preprinsufficient.	her funding in all is partly reled sall is partly related large sall is according to the sall is	is reliant on CIL eliant on CIL eliant on CIL cale projects	CIL funding to the control of the co	r funding optionering granted and options had unding has been decided and options between evidencing but who evidencing	d (Possible so ave been exp een secured. here the amo	core of 1 or rollored. Other Greater weighnet	funding may ght can be g serves avails	y have iven able is

Question 9 Delivery ris		What measures have been explored to minimise the risk of the project not being delivered?								
0	1	2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10							
Scoring gu	idance	carefully ma provide gua 5 – Budget before and 10 – Multiple permissions	anaged, the drantees. management can demons the budget mass have been	nt measures trate capabil nagement m secured. Co	explored. Co lity. Minimal oneasures secontractor/supp	equately insur- equately insur- entractor/supp guarantees of cured (e.g. fix plier can provid and mitigat	red, capable plier has deli ffered. red price con vide guarante	of undertaki vered a proje tract tenders	ng the project ect of this scans.	ale and type

Question 1 Sustainabil		How have any ongoing costs been covered?								
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Scoring gu	oring guidance 5 – Some future costs covered or may be covered for a limited time (e.g. through guarantees/warranty/certification) 10 – No ongoing costs. Ongoing costs covered by another organisation or project may be self-funding								·	

Question	score	
1		/20
2		/20
3		/10
4		/10
5		/10
6		/10
7		/10
8		/10
9		/10
10		/10
Total		
	/120	%

Planning and Building Service

Council Offices
Beech Hurst
Weyhill Road
Andover
Hampshire
SP10 3AJ

Email: planning@testvalley.gov.uk



